

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/531,423	OBARA ET AL.
	Examiner Jason L. Savage	Art Unit 1775

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Jason L. Savage.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sheridan Neimark.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2006

**Time:** 12:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

20 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to inform him that claim 18 and the claims dependent thereon were found to be allowable and that claim 23 included allowable limitations. Applicant asked the Examiner to issue an office action which showed how the prior art was being applied to the claims.